Document 3094

Filed 05/29/25

Page 1 of 6

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB

1	3:23-cv-04972
2	J.E. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-03335
3	
4	Jane Doe QLF 0001 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-08783-CRB
5	Jaylynn Dean v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-06708
67	K.E. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05281-CRB
8	Amanda Lazio v. Uber Technologies, Inc., No. 3:24-cv-08937-CRB
9 10	LCHB128 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-7019
11	T.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 24-cv-9217
12 13	WHB 318 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., No. 3:24-
	cv-04889
14 15	WHB 407 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05028
16	WHB 823 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., No. 3:24-cv-4900
17	WHB 1486 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-04803
18 19	WHB 1876 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05230
20	WHB 1898 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05027
21 22	Jane Roe CL 68 v. Uber Technologies Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-06669-CRB
	<i>at.</i> , No. 3.24-cv-00009-CRB
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	1

28

1	RECITALS	
2	WHEREAS, on April 15, 2025, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' amer	nded
3	bellwether complaints, Dkt. 2791;	1
4	WHEREAS, on May 15, 2025, Plaintiffs filed an opposition to the motion to dismiss.	
5	Dkt. 3002;	,
6	WHEREAS, Defendants' reply in support of its motion to dismiss is presently due on	า
7	June 2, 2025, pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 21, Dkt. 1950;	
8	WHEREAS, Defendants' motion was noticed for a hearing date to be determined by	the
9	Court subsequently;	
10	WHEREAS, Defendants seek, and Plaintiffs do not oppose, an additional 7 days to fi	le
11	their reply, extending the deadline to June 9, 2025;	
12	WHEREAS, Defendants' request is based on the complexity of the legal issues prese	nted.
13	and other pressing matters in this and related litigation, including ongoing summary judgmen	
14	briefing in the JCCP pending in the California Superior Court for San Francisco County; and	
15	WHEREAS, no other dates in the pretrial schedule set out in Pretrial Order Nos. 21 a	ınd
16	26 would need to be changed to accommodate the requested extension;	
17	STIPULATION	
18	NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree and request the Court enter the partie	es'
19	stipulation as follows:	
20	Defendants' time to reply in support of their motion to dismiss the amended bellwether	er
21	complaints (Dkt. 2791) is extended by 7 days, to and including June 9, 2025.	
22	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
23	Dated: May 29, 2025 Respectfully submitted,	
24	O'MELVENY AND MYERS LLP	
25	By: /s/ Sabrina H. Strong	
26	SABRINA H. STRONG (SBN: 200292) sstrong@omm.com	
27	JONATHAN SCHNELLER (SBN: 291288) jschneller@omm.com	
28	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 South Hope Street, 19th Floor	
	400 South Hope Street, 19th Floor	